

IN RE: ALFORD v. BAYLOR, et al. #1:20-cv-1787

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

AND NOW COMES PLAINTIFF, WHO RESPECTFULLY
ASK FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF RELATIVELY UNDISPUTED
FACTS AND DOCUMENTS AND ASKS THE FOLLOWING IN
SUPPORT THEREOF:

- 1) PLAINTIFF ASK FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT TO PROMPTLY
DISPOSE THIS ACTION IN WHICH NO GENUINE ISSUE AS
TO ANY MATERIAL FACT IDENTIFYING EACH CUTIN
THE COURT SHALL GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT IF
MOVANT SHOWS THAT THERE IS NO GENUINE DISPUTE
OF SERIOUS ~~14th~~ AUGUST RIGHT CLAIMS. DEFENDANTS
CANNOT DISPUTE THESE CERTIFIED DOCUMENTS FROM
THE RECORD BY LASEA KOLD - CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK
AS I RELY ON THE DOCKET SHEET IN COMM. V.
ALFORD #929-CR-2020 PAGES (1); (2); AND (6) OF THE
CRIMINAL DOCKET FOR RELIEF (SEE EXHIBIT A-1)

SUPPORTING FACTUAL POSITION:

- 2) I ASSERT THAT THESE FACTS CANNOT BE
GENUINELY DISPUTED BECAUSE ~~HE~~ IS IN THE DOCKET

SHEET AND IS ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE... (ALSO SEE
 UNOS PAR CRIM.P. 57(A), 600(1)(2) VIOLATED)
 (AS EXHIBIT B-1)...

(3) EXHIBIT A-1 PROVES I WAS ARRESTED 6.7.20;
 THAT THE INFORMATION WAS FILED 6.25.20, SO THE
 LATEST AN ARRANGEMENT COULD OF BEEN CONDUCTED
 WAS 7.9.20; WHEREFORE, THE ARRANGEMENT CONDUCTED
 9.2.20 WAS UNCONSTITUTIONAL...

(4) (EXHIBIT A-1) ALSO PROVES I WAS ARRESTED 6.7.20;
 THAT I COULD ONLY BE IN PRETRIAL CONFINEMENT
 FOR 180 DAYS SO THE LATEST A TRIAL COULD
 OF BEEN CONDUCTED WAS 12.4.20; WHEREFORE, THE
 TRIAL CONDUCTED 5.10.21 WAS UNCONSTITUTIONAL...

(5) (EXHIBIT B-1) IS THE RULES THAT MUST BE FOLLOWED
 AND THE MOVING FORCE FOR THIS CIVIL LITIGATION
 CITING ILLEGAL PRETRIAL DETENTION BEFORE THE
 PERMISSIBLE TIME LIMITS...

EXPEDITE:

(6) IN EXPEDITING ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE AND THE
 POLICY OF COURTS TO DISPOSE OF LAWSUITS ON
 THEIR MERITS WHEREVER POSSIBLE; IT PROMOTES
 JUST, SPEEDY, AND INEXPENSIVE DETERMINATION OF
 EVERY ACTION OF VERY CLEAR CASES... I OFFER

2024

A PRIMA FACIE CASE OF 14TH AMENDMENT
CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS BY DEFENDANTS AND OFFER
EXHIBITS A-1 AND B-1 IN SUPPORT...

SUMMARY JUDGMENT!

(1) SUMMARY JUDGMENT SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED UNLESS
ENTIRE RECORD (SEE COMM. V. ALFORD #929-CR-2020
Pa Ct Com Pl., Monroe County) PLUS EXHIBITS
SUBMITTED AND HEREIN SHOWS RIGHT TO
JUDGMENT WITH SUCH CLARITY AS TO LEAVE NO
ROOM FOR CONTROVERSY AND ESTABLISH AFFIRMATIVES
THAT DEFENDANTS CANNOT PREVAIL UNDER ANY
CIRCUMSTANCES. (THEY CANNOT SAY NO, I WAS NOT
ARRAIGNED ON 9.2.20; OR NO, I WAS NOT GIVEN A
TRIAL 5.10.21) OR THEY COMMIT PERJURY IN THIS
COURT OF LAW

(2) I RESPECTFULLY ASK THIS COURT TO GRANT
SUMMARY JUDGMENT BECAUSE THIS MOTION AND
SUPPORTING MATERIALS SHOWS = AN ENTIRE TO
PROCESS FOR DEFENDANTS VIOLATING MY 14TH AMEND
RIGHTS OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION WARRANTING THE
GRANT OF ALL RELIEF PRAYED FOR IN AVERSED
COMPLAINT... THE COURT SHOULD FIND THESE CERTIFIED
DOCUMENTS, AND PA2 CRIM.P CANNOT BE DISPUTED BY
DEFENDANTS WHO FAILED TO APPEAR IN 10 DAYS AFTER
INFORMED FILED OR WHY IT TOOK 68 DAYS BEFORE
THE TIME FRAME REQUIREMENTS; OR WHY IT TOOK
304

144 DAYS BEYOND THE TIME FRAME REQUIREMENTS TO CONDUCT A TRIAL; FAILED TO INVESTIGATE MY ALLEGATIONS; TOOK MINIMAL APPROACH FOR ME TO SEE MY LEGAL TEAM THAT INDEED DEFENDANTS VIOLATED MY 14TH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS RIGHTS IN HANDLES OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND HANDLE, SAILOR, AND ARNOLD'S INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY AND I SHOULD BE REDRESSED FOR CONSTITUTIONAL WRONGS COMMITTED BY THESE OFFICIALS OF HOLDING ME UNLAWFULLY IN PRETRIAL DETENTION WITHOUT ARRANGING OF TRIAL VIOLATING PAR.C.R.P. AND MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AS A U.S. CITIZEN AND TO FOUR STATE AND THE WORLD, GIVE IT TO COKE, BEERS, AND MARIJUANA OUT OF MONROE BUT NOT ME WHO WAS SIMILAR SITUATED AND WAS AUTHORIZED TO USE (ACA) TO MEET MY CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENTS DEFENDANTS IN ACTIONS; MINIMALIST APPROACH BY NOT SATISFYING MY 14TH AMEND. RIGHTS AS I PREVIOUSLY STATED AN OFFICIAL CAPACITY CLAIM AGAINST HANDLE, AND INDIVIDUAL CLAIMS AGAINST HANDLE, SAILOR, AND ARNOLD AND I SHOULD BE AWARDED COMPENSATORY AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES.

WHEREFORE, I PRAY, THIS COURT GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT TO MY FAVOR AND TOWARD ALL RELIEF PRAYED FOR IN THE AMENDED COMPLAINT

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
 Craig S. [Signature]
 Craig S. [Signature]
 11-3-22

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have on this day served a copy of the following and in the manner indicated below to satisfy the rules of court:

Service by 1st class Mail; Postage Prepaid To:

Gerard J. Geiger, Esq.
712 MONROE STREET
STROUDSBURG, PA 18360

DECLARATION

I declare, under the penalty of perjury that the documents enclosed as evidence that is certified for the court and cannot be disputed in support of summary judgment to my personal knowledge are true and correct, and set out facts that would be admissible in evidence, and I am competent as well as US. CASEY KOIDL - CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK of COURT to testify on the matters stated...

By: Craig Alford
Craig Alford 11/3/22
~~11/3/22~~

Smart Communications/PADOC

SCI- *PHOENIX*

Name *CRAG ALFORD*

Number *Q10225*

PO Box 33028

St Petersburg FL 33733

LEGAL MAIL ADDRES:
1200 MORGAN DRIVE
COLLEGEVILLE, PA 17046

4242
11/14/22

RECEIVED
WILLIAMSPORT

NOV 14 2022

PER EA
DEPUTY CLERK

PA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS
PRISON MAIL

PA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS
RECEIVED
NOV 14 2022

PA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS
RECEIVED
NOV 14 2022

CLERK OF COURTS
U.S.D.C. - MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PA
U.S. COURT HOUSE, SUITE 218
240 WEST THIRD STREET
WILLIAMSPORT, PA 17701-6460

1770136460 0002

1770136460 0002